Case 5:09-mj-70871-PVT_Document 4 Filed 10/08/09 Page 1 of 1

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES (OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	
v. Damina	Sacia Sorta	

Case Number <u>QR-09-70871(PV7)</u>

"Daminga Caria Cata	
Domingo Sapien Soto, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f).	a detention hearing was held on 16/8/09. Defendant was
present, represented by his attorney M. Qhouig. The I	nited States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	miles was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney
	ped in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted
of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while or	release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a
period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the da	te of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,
whichever is later.	to or conviction of the release of the person from imprisonment,
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no con-	dition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and the community.	action of combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
	ent) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendence
has committed an offense	was take in that it below) to believe that the derendent
A. for which a maximum term of impri	sonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a	et sea OR
B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c); use of a fi	rearm during the commission of a felony.
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no cond	lition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the co	ommunity.
/ / No presumption applies.	•
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	
HT The defendant has not come forward with sufficie	nt evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he
therefore will be ordered detained.	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to	rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United Sta	
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR IN	
/ / The United States has proved to a preponderance	of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required,	AND/OR
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convinc	ring evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
easonably assure the safety of any other person and the commu	
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF	
The Court has taken into account the factors set ou	t in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at
he hearing and finds as follows: The defendant	is charged in an indistrant alleges
VIDENTIMAN OILUST SQUITATO	(6)(1)(A) A A A K (11) - A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A

A mis of the annual and selection and selection of the along the analytic and an inches and a selection and an inches and a selection and an inches and a selection and a selectio

to distribute Escaine. This case is in the

// Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written findings. go fine of work orders.

PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in face of the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in face of the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in face of the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in face of the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in face of the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in face of the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in face of the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in face of the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in face of the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in face of the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in face of the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in face of the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in face of the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in face of the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in face of the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in face of the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in face of the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in face of the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in the custody of the Attorney General or his designated convergent in the custody of the Attorney General

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the lefendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: 18/8/09

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL

United States Magistrate Judge